

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA


FRED DOUGLAS VINING,)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	Civil Action No. 06-0016
)	
UNITED STATES COURT FOR THE)	
WESTERN DISTRICT OF)	
PENNSYLVANIA, et al.,)	
Defendants.)	

ORDER

Before the court is pro se plaintiff's Motion to Resubmit Motion for Leave to Proceed in forma pauperis and to Amend Complaint [doc. no. 10] and Motion to Amend Complaint [doc. no. 11]. We deny the Motion to Amend as it is identical to a motion we previously denied in this case, which disposition has now been affirmed by the Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit [doc. nos. 4, 5, and 9]. We similarly deny the Motion to Resubmit as it presents no new facts or legal arguments to justify a different result than that reached previously by both courts.

AND NOW, this ^κ 17 day of June, 2011, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's motions [doc. nos. 10 and 11] are DENIED.

BY THE COURT,


C.J.

cc: All Counsel of Record
Fred Douglas Vining, 115 Lincoln Blvd.,
New Kensington, PA 15068